arXiv:1408.0515v3 [quant-ph] 31 Mar 2015

The Effect Of The Phase-Space Noncommutativity On The Nonrelativistic Limit Of The Dirac Equation

Ilyas HAOUAM[1]■

[1]Department of physics, University of Constantine 1, Algeria

E-mail: ■ilyashaouam@ymail.com

Abstract. In this paper we are interested in the effect of the phase-space noncommutativity on the nonreltivistic limit of the Dirac equation in intereaction with an electromagnetic potential, the nonreltivistic limit is done by the large and small wavefunction components approach, knowing that the nonrelativistic limit gives the Schrödinger-pauli equation.

Keywords: Schrödinger-Pauli equation, Nonrelativistic limit, noncommutativity of phase space, Dirac equation, Moyal product, Bopp-shift

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ge Solutions of wave equations: bound states – 03.65.Pm Relativistic wave equations – 0 02.40.Gh Noncommutative geometry– 03.65.Fd Algebraic methods

1. Introduction

In the last years there has been much interstes in the study of physics in non-commutative space, knowing that the study of noncommutative geometry has a long history [1, 2], the studies of noncommutativity in phase-space and their involvement for quantum field theories play an important role in various fields of physics especially in the theory of strings, and in the matrix model of M-theory [3], also in the description of quantum gravity (quantum gravity).

The common method for studying the noncommutativity of Quantum mechanics(NCQM) is the correspondence between commutative space and the noncommutative space using the method of translation which known as Bopp-shift, or using the Moyal star product [4–7].

In the quantum-mechanical description of particles, there are various relativistic or non-relativistic wave equations as the usual Schrödinger equation applies to the spin-0 particles in the non-relativistic domainin, and the Klein–Gordon equation is the relativistic equation appropriate for spin-0 particles [8–10], in this paper we are interested in the spin-1/2 particles which are governed by the relativistic Dirac equation which, in the non-relativistic limit, leads to the Schrödinger–Pauli equation [11–13] , but in the case of particles with spin 1 or higher, only relativistic equations are usually considered [14].

2. Phase-Space Noncommutativity

It is well known that in the commutative space the coordinates x_i and momentum p_i satisfy the usual canonical commutation relations:

$$[x_i, x_j] = 0,\ [p_i, p_j] = 0,\ [x_i, p_j] = i\delta_{ij} \qquad (i, j = 1, 2) \tag{1}$$

In the recent study results on the phase-space noncommutativity(NCPS) show that at very tiny scales(string scales) the space may not commute anymore, let us consider the operators of coordinates and momentum in the noncommutative phase-space $x_i^{(NC)}$and $p_i^{(NC)}$respectivly, then consider a noncommutative algebra satisfying the commutation relations

$$\left[x_i^{(NC)}, x_j^{(NC)}\right] = i\theta_{ij},\ \left[p_i^{(NC)}, p_j^{(NC)}\right] = i\eta_{ij},\ \left[x_i^{(NC)}, p_i^{(NC)}\right] = i\hbar^{eff}\delta_{ij}(i, j = 1, 2) \tag{2}$$

with the effective plank constant being

$$\hbar^{eff} = \hbar(1 + \frac{\theta\eta}{4\hbar^2}) \tag{3}$$

Where $\theta_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}\theta_k$, $\theta_k = (0, 0, \theta)$, $\eta_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}\eta_k$, $\eta_k = (0, 0, \eta)$, θ , η are noncommutative parameters and they are antisymmetric constant matrices with dimension of $(lenght)^2$and $(momentum)^2$, respectively.

So the NCPS parameters are related to the commutative space parameters (the mapping between NCPS and CPS) through the linear transformations (**Bopp-shift**) [15, 16]

$$\begin{array}{ll} x^{(NC)} = x - \frac{1}{2\hbar}\theta p_y & y^{(NC)} = y - \frac{1}{2\hbar}\theta p_x \\ p_x^{(NC)} = p_x + \frac{1}{2\hbar}\eta y & p_y^{(NC)} = p_y - \frac{1}{2\hbar}\eta x \end{array} \tag{4}$$

When$\theta = \eta = 0$ the noncommutative phase-sapce reduces to commutative space.

The noncommutativity in space can be realized in terms of **Moyal product**(star product) which means that the noncommutativity information is encoded in moyal product, [17–19] in fact

$$\begin{array}{l} (f \star g)(x^{(NC)}) = \exp[\frac{i}{2}\theta_{ab}\partial_{x_a}\partial_{x_b}]f(x_a)\, g(x_b) = f(x)g(x) \\ + \sum_{n=1}\left(\frac{1}{n!}\right)\left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^n \theta^{a_1 b_1}...\theta^{a_n b_n}\partial_{a_1}...\partial_{a_k} f(x)\partial_{b_1}...\partial_{b_k} g(x), \end{array} \tag{5}$$

in other term we have

$$(A, \star) \cong \left(\hat{A}^{(NC)}, \cdot\right) \tag{6}$$

3. Nonrelativistic limit of The Dirac equation

It is possible to define the Nonrelativistic limit of the Dirac equation, using several wayes, including that, there is the **FOLDY-WOUTHUYSEN** [20, 21]transformation, and the classical opproach which is in the standard representation the upper two components of the Dirac wavefunction $\psi$are much larger than the lower two components [22] , using this property we can derive the nonrelativistic limit in a simple way.

The Dirac Equation is given by:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = c\overrightarrow{\alpha}\,\hat{\overrightarrow{p}}\psi + \hat{\beta}mc^2\psi \tag{7}$$

where the momentum $\overrightarrow{p}$ is given by $\overrightarrow{p} = -i\hbar\overrightarrow{\nabla}$ and the matrices $\overrightarrow{\alpha}$and β satisfy the anticommutation relations

$$\{\alpha_i,\alpha_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}\ ,\ \{\alpha_i,\beta\} = 0\ ,\ \alpha_i^2 = \beta^2 = 1 \tag{8}$$

First we study the case of an electron at rest, in this case we obtain the Dirac equation by setting $\hat{\overrightarrow{p}}\psi = 0$ in Eq.(1)

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \hat{\beta}m_0c^2\psi \tag{9}$$

this system of equations is solved simply and leads to four solutions linearly independentes:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}e^{-i(\frac{m_0c^2}{\hbar})t} \quad \psi^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}e^{-i(\frac{m_0c^2}{\hbar})t}\\
\psi^{(3)} &= \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}e^{+i(\frac{m_0c^2}{\hbar})t} \quad \psi^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\0\\1\end{pmatrix}e^{+i(\frac{m_0c^2}{\hbar})t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$\psi^{(1)}$and $\psi^{(2)}$correspond to positive energy value and $\psi^{(3)}$, $\psi^{(4)}$with negative one.

At first therefore we restrict ourselves to solutions of positive energy. In order to show that the Dirac equation reproduces the two component pauli equation in the nonrelativisric limit, we inroduce the electromagnetic four-potential

$$A^\mu = \left\{A_0(x), \overrightarrow{A}(x)\right\} \tag{11}$$

the Dirac equation Eq.(1) in the interaction with Eq.(11) knowing that the minimal coupling

$$\hat{p}^\mu \to \hat{p}^\mu - \frac{e}{c}A^\mu \equiv \hat{\Pi}^\mu \tag{12}$$

where $\hat{\Pi}^\mu$ is the kinetic momentum and $\hat{p}^\mu$the canonical momentum.

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi = c\overrightarrow{\alpha}(\hat{\overrightarrow{p}} - \frac{e}{c}\overrightarrow{A})\psi + eA_0\psi + \hat{\beta}mc^2\psi. \tag{13}$$

The nonrelativistic limit of Eq.(6) can be most efficiently studied in the representation

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\varphi}\\ \tilde{\chi}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

Where the four-component spinor $\psi$is decomposed into two two-component spinors $\tilde{\varphi}$and $\tilde{\chi}$. Then the Dirac equation Eq.(6) becomes

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\varphi}\\ \tilde{\chi}\end{pmatrix} = c\overrightarrow{\alpha}\underbrace{(\hat{\overrightarrow{p}} - \frac{e}{c}\overrightarrow{A})}_{\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\varphi}\\ \tilde{\chi}\end{pmatrix} + eA_0\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\varphi}\\ \tilde{\chi}\end{pmatrix} + \hat{\beta}mc^2\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\varphi}\\ \tilde{\chi}\end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

according to

$$\hat{\overrightarrow{\alpha}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}} \\ \hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

into Eq.(15) then

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}\tilde{\chi} \\ c\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}\tilde{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} + eA_0\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix} + mc^2\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ -\tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

if the rest energy m_0c^2,as the largest occuring energy, is additionally separated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} e^{-i(\frac{m_0c^2}{\hbar})t} \tag{18}$$

then Eq.(17) takes the forme

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}\chi \\ c\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}\varphi \end{pmatrix} + eA_0\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} - 2m_0c^2\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

Let us consider first the lower of the above equation. For the conditions $\left|i\hbar\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial t}\right| \ll \left|m_0c^2\chi\right|$and $|eA_0\chi| \ll \left|m_0c^2\chi\right|$‡ if the kinetic energy as well as the potential energy are small compared to the rest energy, we find from the lower component of Eq.(19)

$$\begin{pmatrix} c\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}\chi \\ c\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}\varphi \end{pmatrix} - 2m_0c^2\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{20}$$

Eq.(20) leads to

$$\chi = \frac{c\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}}{2mc^2}\varphi. \tag{21}$$

This means that χrepresents the small components of the wave function$\psi$and φrepresents the large components. Insertion of Eq.(21) into the Eq.(19) results in a nonrelativistic wave function for φ

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi = \frac{(\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}})(\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}})}{2m_0}\varphi + eA_0\varphi. \tag{22}$$

With the help of$(\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{A}})(\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{B}}) = \hat{\overrightarrow{A}}.\hat{\overrightarrow{B}} + i\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}.(\hat{\overrightarrow{A}} \times \hat{\overrightarrow{B}})$, (see **Appendix** for the proof) we continue the calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}})(\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}) = \hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}^2 + i\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}.(\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}} \times \hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}) \\
&= (\hat{\overrightarrow{p}} - \frac{e}{c}\overrightarrow{A})^2 + i\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\left[(-i\hbar\overrightarrow{\nabla} - \frac{e}{c}\overrightarrow{A}) \times (-i\hbar\overrightarrow{\nabla} - \frac{e}{c}\overrightarrow{A})\right] \\
&= (\hat{\overrightarrow{p}} - \frac{e}{c}\overrightarrow{A})^2 - \frac{e}{c}\hbar\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}.(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{A}) \\
&= (\hat{\overrightarrow{p}} - \frac{e}{c}\overrightarrow{A})^2 - \frac{e}{c}\hbar\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}.\overrightarrow{B}
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Finallay Eq.(22) becomes

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi = \frac{(\hat{\overrightarrow{p}} - \frac{e}{c}\overrightarrow{A})^2}{2m_0}\varphi - \frac{e\hbar\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}.\overrightarrow{B}}{2m_0c}\varphi + eA_0\varphi. \tag{24}$$

This is as it should be, **The Schrödinger-Pauli equation**[22, 23]

‡ $E_0 \gg i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, slow time dependence and $E_0 \gg eA_0$weak coupling of the electromagnetic potential

3.1. Gyromagnetic Factor of The Electron(g=2)

According to $\overrightarrow{B}=\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\overrightarrow{A}$, $\overrightarrow{A}=\frac{1}{2}\overrightarrow{B}\times\overrightarrow{x}$

$$(\hat{\overrightarrow{p}}-\frac{e}{c}\overrightarrow{A})^2=(\hat{\overrightarrow{p}}-\frac{e}{2c}\overrightarrow{B}\times\overrightarrow{x})^2\approx\hat{\overrightarrow{p}}^2-\frac{e}{c}\overrightarrow{B}.\overrightarrow{L}, \tag{25}$$

where $\overrightarrow{L}=\overrightarrow{x}\times\hat{\overrightarrow{p}}$ and $\hat{\overrightarrow{S}}=\frac{1}{2}\hbar\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}$ are the operator of orbital angular momentum and the spin operator respectively.

So that Eq.(23) finally takes the form of

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi=\left[\frac{\hat{\overrightarrow{p}}^2}{2m_0}-\frac{e}{2m_0c}(\overrightarrow{L}+2\hat{\overrightarrow{S}}).\overrightarrow{B}+eA_0\right]\varphi \tag{26}$$

This form of **Schrödinger-Pauli equation** shows explicitly the **g** facor 2.

The most important result is that in the nonrelativistic limit, the Dirac equation transforms into the **Schrödinger-Pauli equation**

4. Nonrelativistic limit of The Noncommutative Dirac equation

The Dirac equation in the Noncommutative phase-space is given by [18, 24]

$$H(x^{(NC)},p^{(NC)})\star\psi(x^{(NC)})=E\psi. \tag{27}$$

knowing that the Dirac equation in interaction with electromagnetic potential in commutative space-phase is

$$E\psi=\left[c\alpha_i(\hat{p_i}-\frac{e}{c}A_i(x))+eA_0(x)+\hat{\beta}mc^2\right]\psi, \tag{28}$$

so in the first step we make the mapping between the coordinates $x^{(NC)}$and x using the moyal product, with the help of Eq.(5), the Dirac equation Eq.(27) becomes

$$H(x^{(NC)},p^{(NC)})\star\psi(x^{(NC)})=\left[c\alpha_i(\hat{p_i}-\frac{e}{c}A_i(x))+eA_0(x)+\hat{\beta}mc^2\right]\star\psi(x), \tag{29}$$

assuming that the electromagnetic potential is written as $A(x)=hx$ so that the derivation in the Eq.(5) will automatically stop in the first ordre, then we find

$$(f\star g)(x^{(NC)})=f(x)g(x)+\frac{i}{2}\theta^{ab}\partial_a f\partial_b g+\mathcal{O}(\theta^2). \tag{30}$$

then Eq.(29) can be written as follows

$$\begin{array}{c}H(x^{(NC)},p^{(NC)})\star\psi(x^{(NC)})=H(x,p^{(NC)})\psi(x)\\ +\frac{i}{2}\theta_{ab}\partial_a\left(c\alpha_i(\hat{p_i}-\frac{e}{c}A_i(x))+eA_0(x)+\hat{\beta}mc^2\right)\partial_b\psi(x)=E\psi(x).\end{array} \tag{31}$$

where $\partial_a(c\alpha_i\hat{p_i})=\partial_a(\hat{\beta}mc^2)=0$ Eq.(28) becomes

$$H(x,p^{(NC)})\psi(x)-\frac{ie}{2}\theta_{ab}\partial_a\left(\alpha_iA_i(x))+A_0(x)\right)\partial_b\psi(x)=E\psi(x). \tag{32}$$

in the second step we make the mapping between the momentum $p^{(NC)}$and p using Eq.(4) to get full Dirac equation in noncommutative phase-space.

$$\begin{array}{c}H_{(NC)}(x,p)\psi(x)=\left[c\alpha_i\left(p_i+\frac{1}{2\hbar}\eta_{ij}x_j-\frac{e}{c}A_i(x)\right)+eA_0(x)\right.\\ \left.+\hat{\beta}mc^2-\frac{ie}{2}\theta_{ab}\partial_a\left(\alpha_iA_i(x)+A_0(x)\right)\partial_b\right]\psi(x)=E\psi(x),\end{array} \tag{33}$$

we rewrite Eq.(33) in a more compact form (see **Appendix** for the proof):

$$\begin{array}{c}H_{(NC)}\psi_{(NC)}=\left[c\overrightarrow{\alpha}\left(\hat{\overrightarrow{p}}-\frac{e}{c}\overrightarrow{A}\right)+eA_0+\hat{\beta}mc^2\right.\\ \left.+\frac{e}{\hbar}(\overrightarrow{\alpha}\times\overrightarrow{x}).\overrightarrow{\eta}+\frac{e}{\hbar}\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\left(\overrightarrow{\alpha}\overrightarrow{A}-A_0\right)\times\overrightarrow{p}\right).\overrightarrow{\theta}\right]\psi_{(NC)}=E\psi_{(NC)}.\end{array} \tag{34}$$

To define a Nonrelativistic limit of the Dirac equation in NCPS, we have firstly to study the case of an electron at rest and without electromagnetic interaction, so by setting $\hat{\overrightarrow{p}}\psi = 0$, $A^{\mu} = 0$ at Eq.(34)

$$H_{(NC)}\psi_{(NC)} = \left[\hat{\beta}m_0c^2 + \frac{c}{\hbar}(\overrightarrow{\alpha} \times \overrightarrow{x}).\overrightarrow{\eta}\right]\psi_{(NC)} = i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi_{(NC)}}{\partial t}. \tag{35}$$

this system of equations is simply solved as in Eq.(9) and leads to the following four-solutions

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^{(1)}_{(NC)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(m_0c^2+\frac{c}{\hbar}(\overrightarrow{\alpha}\times\overrightarrow{x}).\overrightarrow{\eta})t} \quad \psi^{(2)}_{(NC)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(m_0c^2+\frac{c}{\hbar}(\overrightarrow{\alpha}\times\overrightarrow{x}).\overrightarrow{\eta})t}\\
\psi^{(3)}_{(NC)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix} e^{+\frac{i}{\hbar}(m_0c^2+\frac{c}{\hbar}(\overrightarrow{\alpha}\times\overrightarrow{x}).\overrightarrow{\eta})t} \quad \psi^{(4)}_{(NC)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} e^{+\frac{i}{\hbar}(m_0c^2+\frac{c}{\hbar}(\overrightarrow{\alpha}\times\overrightarrow{x}).\overrightarrow{\eta})t}
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

with the same steps used in the nonrelativistic limiting in the case of commutative space, where $\psi_{(NC)} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{(NC)}\\ \tilde{\chi}_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix}$, then the Dirac equation Eq.(34) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
i\hbar\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{(NC)}\\ \tilde{\chi}_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} &= c\overrightarrow{\alpha}\underbrace{(\hat{\overrightarrow{p}} - \frac{e}{c}\overrightarrow{A})}_{\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{(NC)}\\ \tilde{\chi}_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} + eA_0\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{(NC)}\\ \tilde{\chi}_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} + \hat{\beta}m_0c^2\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{(NC)}\\ \tilde{\chi}_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix}\\
&+\tfrac{c}{\hbar}(\overrightarrow{\alpha}\times\overrightarrow{x}).\overrightarrow{\eta}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{(NC)}\\ \tilde{\chi}_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} + \tfrac{e}{\hbar}\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\left(\overrightarrow{\alpha}\overrightarrow{A} - A_0\right)\times\overrightarrow{p}\right).\overrightarrow{\theta}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{(NC)}\\ \tilde{\chi}_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

using Eq.(16), and setting $\boldsymbol{Q_{\eta}} = (\overrightarrow{\alpha}\times\overrightarrow{x}).\overrightarrow{\eta}$ *and* $\boldsymbol{Q_{\theta}} = \left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\left(\overrightarrow{\alpha}\overrightarrow{A} - A_0\right)\times\overrightarrow{p}\right).\overrightarrow{\theta}$ it comes

$$\begin{aligned}
i\hbar\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{(NC)}\\ \tilde{\chi}_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} c\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}\tilde{\chi}_{(NC)}\\ c\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}\tilde{\varphi}_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} + eA_0\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{(NC)}\\ \tilde{\chi}_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} + m_0c^2\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{(NC)}\\ -\tilde{\chi}_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix}\\
&+\tfrac{c}{\hbar}\boldsymbol{Q_{\eta}}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{(NC)}\\ \tilde{\chi}_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} + \tfrac{e}{\hbar}\boldsymbol{Q_{\theta}}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{(NC)}\\ \tilde{\chi}_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

with $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{(NC)}\\ \tilde{\chi}_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{(NC)}\\ \chi_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(m_0c^2+\frac{c}{\hbar}\boldsymbol{Q_{\eta}})t}$ then Eq.(38) takes the forme

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{(NC)}\\ \chi_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}\chi_{(NC)}\\ c\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}\varphi_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} + eA_0\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{(NC)}\\ \chi_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} - 2m_0c^2\begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \chi_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e}{\hbar}\boldsymbol{Q_{\theta}}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{(NC)}\\ \chi_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{39}$$

using the slow time dependence $E_0 \gg i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, and weak coupling of the electromagnetic potential $E_0 \gg eA_0$ approach, Eq.(39) goes to

$$\begin{pmatrix} c\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}\chi_{(NC)}\\ c\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}\varphi_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} - 2m_0c^2\begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \chi_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{e}{\hbar}\boldsymbol{Q_{\theta}}\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{(NC)}\\ \chi_{(NC)} \end{pmatrix} = 0. \tag{40}$$

Let us use the second equation of the above system Eq.(40) then we obtain

$$\chi_{(NC)} = \frac{c\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}}}{\left(2m_0c^2 - \frac{e}{\hbar}\boldsymbol{Q_{\theta}}\right)}\varphi_{(NC)} \tag{41}$$

where $\chi_{(NC)}$ represent the small components of the wave function $\psi_{(NC)}$. Insertion of Eq.(42) into the first equation of Eq.(39) results in a nonrelativistic wave function for $\varphi_{(NC)}$

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi_{(NC)} = \frac{(\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}})(\hat{\overrightarrow{\sigma}}\hat{\overrightarrow{\Pi}})}{\left(2m_0 - \frac{e}{\hbar c^2}\boldsymbol{Q_{\theta}}\right)}\varphi_{(NC)} + eA_0\varphi_{(NC)} + \frac{e}{\hbar}\boldsymbol{Q_{\theta}}\varphi_{(NC)}. \tag{42}$$

using Eq.(23) and Eq.(25) we find

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi_{(NC)}=\left[\frac{\hat{\overrightarrow{p}}^{2}}{\left(2m_{0}-\frac{e}{\hbar c^{2}}\boldsymbol{Q_{\theta}}\right)}-\frac{e}{c\left(2m_{0}-\frac{e}{\hbar c^{2}}\boldsymbol{Q_{\theta}}\right)}(\overrightarrow{L}+2\hat{\overrightarrow{S}}).\overrightarrow{B}+eA_{0}+\frac{e}{\hbar}\boldsymbol{Q_{\theta}}\right]\varphi_{(NC)}. \quad (43)$$

Where$\boldsymbol{Q_{\theta}}=\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\left(\overrightarrow{\alpha}\overrightarrow{A}-A_{0}\right)\times\overrightarrow{p}\right).\overrightarrow{\theta}$

for $\theta=0\Rightarrow\boldsymbol{Q_{\theta}}=0$ and Eq.(43) becomes Eq.(26)

while we are in very tiny sapce scales, so the Nc term$\boldsymbol{Q_{\theta}}\ll 1$, it is possible to use the Maclaurin series, by changing the variable

$$\frac{e}{2m_{0}\hbar c^{2}}\boldsymbol{Q_{\theta}}=\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\theta} \quad (44)$$

$$\frac{1}{2m_{0}\left(1-\frac{e}{2m_{0}\hbar c^{2}}\boldsymbol{Q_{\theta}}\right)}\backsimeq\frac{1}{2m_{0}}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Theta_{\theta}^{j}, \quad (45)$$

we find that Eq.(43) goes to

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi_{(NC)}=\left[\frac{\hat{\overrightarrow{p}}^{2}}{2m_{0}}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Theta_{\theta}^{j}-\frac{e}{2m_{0}c}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Theta_{\theta}^{j}.(\overrightarrow{L}+2\hat{\overrightarrow{S}}).\overrightarrow{B}+eA_{0}+2m_{0}c\Theta_{\theta}\right]\varphi_{(NC)}. \quad (46)$$

Eq.(46) represents **The Schrödinger-Pauli equation** in the Noncommutative space,

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the nonrelativistic limit of the Dirac equation with electromagnetic potential has been studied in non-commutative phase-space. Using the large and small wavefunction components approach. we find that the effect of the noncommutativity in phase on the nonrelativistic limit is vanished, but the effect of the noncommutativity in space appear widely and it is reduced in the Θ_{θ}. Under the condition that space-space and momentum-momentum are all commutative (namely, η= 0, ϑ= 0) the results return to that of usual quantum mechanic.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Pr Lyazid CHETOUANI for interesting comments and suggestions.

Appendix A.

the proof of the formula used in Eq.(23)

$$\begin{aligned}&(\sigma_{i}\Pi^{i})(\sigma_{j}\Pi^{j})f=\sigma_{i}\sigma_{j}\Pi^{i}\Pi^{j}f\\&=\left(\delta_{ij}+i\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma_{k}\right)\Pi^{i}\Pi^{j}f\\&=\overrightarrow{\Pi}^{2}f+i\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma_{k}\left(\partial_{i}-eA_{i}\right)\left(\partial_{j}-eA_{j}\right)f\\&=\overrightarrow{\Pi}^{2}f+i\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma_{k}\left(\partial_{i}\partial_{j}f-e^{2}A_{i}A_{j}-ie\partial_{i}\left(A_{j}f\right)-ieA_{i}\partial_{j}f\right)\\&=\overrightarrow{\Pi}^{2}f-e\overrightarrow{\sigma}\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\overrightarrow{A}\right)f=\overrightarrow{\Pi}^{2}f-e\overrightarrow{\sigma}.\overrightarrow{B}f\end{aligned}$$

calculations between moving from the relation Eq.(33) to the relation Eq.(34)

using $\eta_{ij}=\eta\epsilon_{ij}$ and $\eta_{k}=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{kij}\eta_{ij}$

$c\alpha_{i}\frac{1}{2\hbar}\eta_{ij}x_{j}=c2\frac{1}{2\hbar}\eta_{k}\epsilon_{kij}^{-1}\alpha_{i}x_{j}=c\frac{1}{\hbar}\eta_{k}\epsilon_{kij}\alpha_{i}x_{j}\ /\ \epsilon_{kij}=\epsilon_{ijk}$

we know that $(u \times v)_{\mu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} u_{\nu}.v_{\lambda}$so

$c\frac{1}{\hbar}\eta_k \epsilon_{kij}\alpha_i x_j = \frac{c}{\hbar}\left(\overrightarrow{\alpha} \times \overrightarrow{x}\right)_k .\eta_k = \frac{c}{\hbar}\left(\overrightarrow{\alpha} \times \overrightarrow{x}\right).\overrightarrow{\eta}$

with the same manner we prove that

$-ie\theta_k \epsilon_{abk}\partial_a \left(\overrightarrow{\alpha}\overrightarrow{A} - A_0\right)\partial_b = -ie\frac{\hbar}{\hbar}\theta_k \epsilon_{abk}\partial_a \left(\overrightarrow{\alpha}\overrightarrow{A} - A_0\right) = \frac{e}{\hbar}\left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}.\left(\overrightarrow{\alpha}\overrightarrow{A} - A_0\right) \times \overrightarrow{p}\right) \times \overrightarrow{\theta}$

References

[1] H.S. Snyder, Phys. Rev. 71, 38 (1946)

[2] C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 72, 874 (1947).

[3] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. Shenker and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D55 (1997) 5112

[4] M. R. Douglas and N. A. Nekrasov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73(2001)977-1029.

[5] A. Connes, M. R. Douglas and A.Schwarz, JHEP 9802 (1998) 003.

[6] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, JHEP 9909 (1999) 032.

[7] M. Chaichian, A. Demichev, P. Presnajder, Nucl. Phys. B567 360 (2000).

[8] Duffin, R.J.: Phys. Rev.54, 1114 (1938)

[9] Kemmer, N.: Proc. R. Soc. A166, 127 (1938)

[10] Kemmer, N.: Proc. R. Soc. A173, 91 (1939)

[11] J.D. Bjorken and S.D. Drell, Relativistic Quantum Mechanics (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964).

[12] A.S. Davydov, Quantum Mechanics, 2nd ed., (Pergamon, Ox-ford, 1965)§63.

[13] A. Messiah, Quantum Mechanics, Vol. II, (Wiley, New York, 1968). 4. V.B.

[14] V.B. Berestetskii, E.M. Lifshitz, and L.P. Pitaevskii, Quantum Electrodynamics, 2nd ed., (Pergamon, Oxford, 1989).

[15] X. Jiang, C. Long and S. Qin, Rev. Mod. Phys, (2013)4.940-944.

[16] H. Hassanabadi, Z. Molaee and S.Zarrinkamar, Advances in High Energy Phys, vol. 2014, Article ID 459345, 6 pages, 2014.

[17] B.Mirza, M.Mohadesi, Theor. Phys. Vol. 42, No. 5 (2014)664-668.

[18] W. Jiang Hua, L. Kang, Chin. Phys. Lett, Vol. 24, No. 1 (2007)5.

[19] V.G. Kupriyanov, J.Phys. A46 (2013) 245303.

[20] Foldy L L and Wouthuysen S 1950Phys. Rev.5829

[21] A G Nikitin, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.31(1998) 3297–3300

[22] W. Greiner, Quantum Mechanics, 3rd ed,(Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1994)

[23] G.F. Torres del Castillo, J. Velazquez Castro, Rev. Mex. Fis. 50(3) (2004) 306–310

[24] O. Bertolamia, R. Queirozb, Phys. Lett. A Vol. 375, 7 (2011) 4116–4119